

## Beyond valve replacement: Rethinking prosthetic heart valve care

**To the Editor:** We read with great interest the article by Sumaraj and Meel<sup>[1]</sup> describing the clinical and echocardiographic profile of patients with prosthetic mitral valves managed at a tertiary centre in Johannesburg. This important contribution provides much-needed contemporary data from a setting where rheumatic heart disease remains prevalent and long-term outcomes after valve replacement are not yet fully characterised.<sup>[2]</sup> Several findings deserve particular attention. Despite relatively young age and preserved functional class in most patients, there was a high burden of atrial fibrillation, residual biventricular dysfunction and strikingly subtherapeutic anticoagulation control. These observations highlight a fundamental challenge in low- and middle-countries (LMICs): outcomes after valve replacement are strongly influenced not only by surgical success, but by long-term follow-up systems that are often fragmented or under-resourced.

Current international guidelines, including the ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease and the ACC/AHA Guideline for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease, provide comprehensive, evidence-based recommendations for prevention, prosthesis selection and follow-up.<sup>[3,4]</sup> However, these guidelines are largely derived from high-income settings, and may not be fully adaptable to the realities faced in LMICs. The Sumaraj and Meel study underscores this gap. For example, although mechanical prostheses remain widely used in younger patients with rheumatic disease, the persistently poor quality of anticoagulation control raises legitimate concerns about long-term safety and thromboembolic risk in environments where international normalised ratio (INR) monitoring, patient education and access to care are inconsistent.

Equally important are the role of prevention and the timing of intervention. The high prevalence of persistent atrial fibrillation and ventricular dysfunction suggests late presentation and delayed surgery, reinforcing the need for stronger upstream strategies focused on rheumatic heart disease prevention, early detection and timely referral. Moreover, the low rate of concomitant procedures such as maze surgery and tricuspid annuloplasty, despite guideline recommendations, reflects both system-level constraints and the challenge of translating guideline-directed care into practice.

Follow-up after valve replacement emerges as a critical determinant of outcomes. Structured programmes for anticoagulation management, endocarditis prevention and optimisation of guideline-directed medical therapy for heart failure are essential, yet remain difficult to implement in many LMIC contexts. These disparities exemplify broader inequities in cardiovascular healthcare, where patients face lifelong risks after surgery without the necessary infrastructure to mitigate them.<sup>[5]</sup>

In this regard, the authors' work strongly supports the need for locally generated data to inform context-sensitive strategies. Rather than uncritical adoption of international guidelines, LMICs require adaptive models of care that balance evidence-based recommendations with feasibility, resource availability and population-specific risks. Future longitudinal and interventional studies from such settings will be crucial to refine valve selection, follow-up protocols and preventive strategies tailored to local realities.

This study is therefore a valuable step toward addressing the evidence gap, and should stimulate further research and policy initiatives aimed at reducing global disparities in valvular heart disease outcomes.

**H Morais** 

*Hospital Militar Principal/Instituto Superior, Luanda, Angola*  
hmorais1@gmail.com

**F M Caceres-Loriga** 

*Doctors HealthCare, Florida, USA*

1. Sumaraj P, Meel R. A cross-sectional study of patients with prosthetic mitral valves at a tertiary centre in Johannesburg, South Africa. *S Afr Med J* 2025;115(9):e2476. <https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2025.v115i9.2476>
2. Karthikeyan G, Nsekhe M, Islam S, et al. Mortality and morbidity in adults with rheumatic heart disease. *JAMA* 2024;332(2):133-140. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2024.8258>
3. Praz F, Borger MA, Lanz J, et al. 2025 ESC/EACTS Guidelines for the management of valvular heart disease. *Eur Heart J* 2025;46(44):4635-4736. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaf194>
4. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2021;143(5):e35-e71. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000923>
5. Mocumbi AO. Cardiovascular health care in low- and middle-income countries. *Circulation* 2024;149(8):557-559. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.123.065717>

## Response to correspondence: 'Beyond valve replacement: Rethinking prosthetic heart valve care'

**To the Editor:** Thank you for the correspondence regarding this research work. We conducted this study to assess the contemporary outcomes of patients with prosthetic mitral valves at Chris Hani Baragwanath Academic Hospital (CHBAH), the third largest hospital in the world. Currently, there is no onsite cardiothoracic surgery service available at CHBAH. From our understanding, the last cardiac surgery was performed at CHBAH nearly 30 years ago, in 1998. All patients requiring cardiac surgery are now referred to the overburdened and under-resourced Charlotte Maxeke Academic Hospital. From our observation, the high morbidity in patients with prosthetic mitral valve in our setting is a result of system dysfunction at multiple levels, and ranges from a shortage of experienced surgeons, cardiologists, anaesthetists and support staff at training institutions, to lack of government-funded posts, delayed referral of patients, long surgical waiting times and patient-associated delays in seeking medical care early. These systems have virtually collapsed due to inertia and mismanagement by the Department of Health, and partly from our failure to act timeously, and tackle the issues head on. We need to move away from a system of individualistic thinking and adopt a collective approach. Only through the concerted efforts of cardiac and cardiothoracic surgery societies, and support from the Department of Health, can these issues be addressed before irreversible damage ensues, and we are left with no services. Above all, to succeed in reviving quality health services, we need to create a culture of integrity, meritocracy, critical thinking, research and competent leadership, but above all, compassion.

**R Meel** 

*Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand and Sandton Mediclinic, Johannesburg, South Africa*  
ruchikameel@gmail.com

*S Afr Med J* 2026;116(2):e4658. <https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2026.v116i2.4658>